

October 10, 1997

Phone: [redacted]

Daytime [redacted]

email: [redacted]

b6
b7C

Dear FBI Investigator,

Enclosed are a series of emails sent to me, as well as newsgroup postings from people working together. These people strongly object to my posting of government documents and news articles alleging that individuals within the US government are complicit with narcotics traffickers. This group has tried a variety of intimidation tactics to make me stop distributing this information.

The first email attached is what I consider a death threat to [redacted]. It came through an "anonymous remailer." I believe the threat came from J. Orlin Grabbe. The newsgroup postings by Grabbe on 29 Sep 1997, followed by my response on 30 Sep, are what I believe prompted this threat.

b6
b7C

Grabbe is a former finance professor and expert on money-laundering. For the past two years, Grabbe has posted to the Internet tales of [redacted]

b6
b7C

[redacted] claimed to be a [redacted] has given statements to journalists that he was involved with [redacted]. Today [redacted] sits in jail convicted of soliciting [redacted]

The second group of emails starts with [redacted] posting my name, address and phone to the CIA Drugs email discussion group, [redacted]. Previously I had maintained my anonymity on the Internet. For example, you cannot determine my identity by "fingering" my email address. I do not know how [redacted] determined my identity.

b6
b7C

[redacted] working with [redacted] in the early 1980s. He then became an [redacted]. Today he claims that [redacted]. He goes to extremes to make his point, such as threatening me with slander [redacted]

b6
b7C

9-0-15
3498

October 10, 1997

Page 2

The newsgroup postings attached to the [redacted] message, which revealed my identity, show that [redacted] and Grabbe were cooperating with one another. Grabbe's hacking skills may have been how [redacted] acquired my name and phone.

b6
b7C

The last group of emails are various anonymous threats I received in the recent past.

I request that the FBI please:

1) Investigate whether there are other reports linking Grabbe and [redacted]

b6
b7C

2) Investigate the death threat.

3) Keep this on file if something happens to my family or myself.

I am receptive to any of the Bureau's recommendations.

Thank you,

b6
b7C

b6
b7c

Received: from [redacted] by [redacted]
[redacted] with ESMTP id [redacted] for [redacted]

Tue, 30 Sep 1997 13:34:29 -0500 (CDT)

Received: [redacted]
by [redacted] id [redacted]
for [redacted] Tue, 30 Sep 1997 20:34:57 +0200 (MET DST)

Date: Tue, 30 Sep 1997 20:34:57 +0200 (MET DST)

Message-Id: [redacted]

To: [redacted]

From: [redacted] (Anonymous)

Organization: Replay and Company Unlimited

X-001: Replay may or may not approve of the content of this posting

X-002: Report misuse of this automated service to <abuse@replay.com>

X-URL: <http://www.replay.com/remailer/>

Subject: where's the body?



Hey [redacted] What happened to [redacted]

Where did you bury the body? [redacted]

Subject: Re: [redacted]
Date: 29 Sep 1997 20:53:57 GMT
From: "J. Orlin Grabbe" <kalliste@aci.net>
Reply-To: kalliste@aci.net
Newsgroups: alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
References: [redacted]
[redacted]
[redacted]

[redacted] wrote:

>
> Orlin Grabbe posts pure bunk. For example, he claimed Clinton was
> going to be eliminated in August, 1996 by the "powers that be."

You should learn sentence structure, [redacted]. Then you
wouldn't post so many lies. I did not say Clinton
"was going to be eliminated in August, 1996".

But Clinton will be eliminated by the powers that be, despite
your little attempts to make a total joke out of drug-related
political corruption, [redacted]. You pathetic little moron.

If I see that you bring up my name again in a post to which
I am not a party, [redacted] I am going to be upset.

Orlin

Subject: Re: [redacted]
Date: Tue, 30 Sep 1997 06:43:44 -0700

b6
b7C

From: [redacted]
Organization: InterAccess Co., Chicago's Full Service Internet Provider
Newsgroups: alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
References: [redacted]
[redacted]

J. Orlin Grabbe wrote:

>
> [redacted] wrote:
>
> > Orlin Grabbe posts pure bunk. For example, he claimed Clinton was
> > going to be eliminated in August, 1996 by the "powers that be."

b6
b7C

J. Orlin Grabbe wrote:

> You should learn sentence structure, [redacted]. Then you
> wouldn't post so many lies. I did not say Clinton
> "was going to be eliminated in August, 1996".
>
> But Clinton will be eliminated by the powers that be, despite
> your little attempts to make a total joke out of drug-related
> political corruption, [redacted]. You pathetic little moron.

b6
b7C

Orlin Grabbe wrote on June 28, 1996:
=> Woodward's Wayward Book
=>
=> by J. Orlin Grabbe

<snip>

=> If all the scandals surrounding the Clinton
=>administration, such as the FBI files, suddenly seem to be
=>sticking, it is because Clinton's days are already numbered by
=>the powers that be. Clinton will be asked to resign and that
~~~~~  
=>request will be an ultimatum, a prelude to an "accident" (like  
~~~~~  
=>that of Colby) if it goes unheeded. Like it or not, that is the
~~~~~  
=>reality of the U.S. political process today.

Did the Secret Service ever talk to you about that, Orlin?

BTW, Clinton is still living in the White House.

b6  
b7C

[redacted]  
To subscribe for free to the CIA Drugs Discussion Group,  
send a blank email to:  
cia-drugs-on@mail-list.com

The email list examines evidence and testimony alleging CIA  
complicity in global narcotics trafficking.

Here you will find:  
\* News of recent events and newly revealed information.

- \* Review of historical documents and testimony.
- \* Analysis of the record.

Received: from [redacted] by

with ESMTP id [redacted] for [redacted]; Sun, 21 Sep 1997 18:57:29 -0500

b6  
b7C

(CDT)

Received: [redacted] id [redacted] for

ciadrugs-mail; Sun, 21 Sep 1997 18:57:12 -0500 (CDT)

Received: from [redacted] by

with ESMTP id [redacted] for <ciadrugs@mars.galstar.com>; Sun, 21 Sep 1997 18:57:10 -0500

b6  
b7C

(CDT)

Received: from [redacted] by [redacted] with ESMTP id [redacted]

for <ciadrugs@mars.galstar.com>; Sun, 21 Sep 1997 19:56:55 -0500 (CDT)

Message-Id: [redacted]

From: [redacted]

To: "CIA Drugs mailing list" <ciadrugs@mars.galstar.com>

Subject: ciadrugs] Posting names

Date: Sun, 21 Sep 1997 18:57:02 -0500

X-MSMail-Priority: Normal

X-Priority: 3

X-Mailer: Microsoft Internet Mail 4.70.1162

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Reply-To: ciadrugs@mars.galstar.com (The ciadrugs mailing list)

Errors-To: ciadrugs-owner@mars.galstar.com

X-Bmw: Black Marble Wombat Version 5.1 Galstar Secure Hack

[redacted] also known as:

b6  
b7C

[redacted]  
You need to know who your list moderator is.

---

For list service help, send a message to ciadrugs-request@mars.galstar.com with a subject of HELP.

Subject: Orlin  
Date: 6 Oct 1997 23:21:25 GMT  
From: [REDACTED]  
Organization: None  
Newsgroups: alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater

b6  
b7C

Orlin is now fair game in my book.



Subject: Re: Orlin fair game?  
Date: 7 Oct 1997 06:32:18 GMT  
From: "J. Orlin Grabbe" <kalliste@aci.net>  
Reply-To: kalliste@aci.net  
To: [redacted]  
Newsgroups: alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater  
References: [redacted]

b6  
b7C

[redacted] wrote:

>  
> Orlin, why would you post such trash from  
> [redacted] without getting my side of the  
> story and fairly posting my response. I have  
> noticed you have taken a position on [redacted]  
> in the past. Is that why you would not fairly  
> represent a such a story with both sides? Are  
> you trying to unfairly discredit me with propaganda  
> which has no supportive documentation? Is  
> this investigative reporting or bolstering a  
> previous position which has had holes blown in  
> it. Just curious.

Because you post here all the time, and you would post your own response to anything I posted. [redacted] doesn't normally post. I was interested in the plane issue--how your story supposedly intersected with [redacted] He made his comments. JQP posted your response. That's that. (By the way, [redacted] really liked your story, the first one from the LV Sun.)

b6  
b7C

Orlin

Subject: Re: Orlin fair game?

Date: 7 Oct 1997 10:33:35 GMT

From: [REDACTED]

Organization: None

Newsgroups: alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater

References: [REDACTED]

<61c1li\$dp3\$08208.136.114.71>

J. Orlin Grabbe <kalliste@aci.net> wrote in article

<61c1li\$dp3\$08208.136.114.71>...

> [REDACTED] wrote:

>

> > Orlin, why would you post such trash from

> > [REDACTED] without getting my side of the

> > story and fairly posting my response. I have

> > noticed you have taken a position on [REDACTED]

> > in the past. Is that why you would not fairly

> > represent a such a story with both sides? Are

> > you trying to unfairly discredit me with propaganda

> > which has no supportive documentation? Is

> > this investigative reporting or bolstering a

> > previous position which has had holes blown in

> > it. Just curious.

>

> Because you post here all the time, and you

> would post your own response to anything I

> posted. [REDACTED] doesn't normally post. I was

> interested in the plane issue--how your story

> supposedly intersected with [REDACTED] He made

> his comments. JQP posted your response.

> That's that. (By the way, [REDACTED] really liked

> your story, the first one from the LV Sun.)

>

> Orlin

>

No, you made his comments. Kind of third party.

You had my response, or so I'm told, why did you

handle it in such a manner? I have left your posts

alone out of respect. Had [REDACTED] posted the

allegation of child molestation, I would more easily

be able to handle it. That allegation is untrue

and actually has nothing to do with the debate.

It is purely an attempt to discredit me, because

why....? It is not a rebuttal to my claims against

him. I could have killed a million people and eaten

them and it wouldn't change the facts of [REDACTED]

BTW, I didn't. Your reply is lame.

[REDACTED]

b6

b7C

b6

b7C

b6

b7C

b6

b7C

Subject: Re: Orlin fair game?  
Date: Tue, 07 Oct 1997 08:15:35 -0500  
From: [REDACTED]  
Organization: None  
CC: [REDACTED]  
Newsgroups: alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater  
References: [REDACTED]  
<61c11i\$dp3\$0@208.136.114.71>

b6  
b7C

[REDACTED] wrote:

>  
> J. Orlin Grabbe <kalliste@aci.net> wrote in article  
> <61c11i\$dp3\$0@208.136.114.71>...  
> [REDACTED] wrote:  
> >  
> > Orlin, why would you post such trash from  
> > [REDACTED] without getting my side of the  
> > story and fairly posting my response. I have  
> > noticed you have taken a position on [REDACTED]  
> > in the past. Is that why you would not fairly  
> > represent a such a story with both sides? Are  
> > you trying to unfairly discredit me with propaganda  
> > which has no supportive documentation? Is  
> > this investigative reporting or bolstering a  
> > previous position which has had holes blown in  
> > it. Just curious.  
> >  
> > Because you post here all the time, and you  
> > would post your own response to anything I  
> > posted. [REDACTED] doesn't normally post. I was  
> > interested in the plane issue--how your story  
> > supposedly intersected with [REDACTED] He made  
> > his comments. JQP posted your response.

b6  
b7C

Just for the record, I posted [REDACTED] response  
at 10/5 2213CDT -0500, immediately after I saw that  
Orlin had posted [REDACTED] email (at 10/5 2200CDT -0500).  
There is no way I would have posted [REDACTED] response  
had I not seen [REDACTED] email sitting there on the  
Supernews newsserver.

b6  
b7C

> > That's that. (By the way, [REDACTED] really liked  
> > your story, the first one from the LV Sun.)  
> >  
> > Orlin  
> >  
> No, you made his comments. Kind of third party.  
> You had my response, or so I'm told, why did you  
> handle it in such a manner? I have left your posts  
> alone out of respect. Had [REDACTED] posted the  
> allegation of child molestation, I would more easily  
> be able to handle it. That allegation is untrue  
> and actually has nothing to do with the debate.  
> It is purely an attempt to discredit me, because  
> why....? It is not a rebuttal to my claims against  
> him. I could have killed a million people and eaten

> them and it wouldn't change the facts of [redacted] Seal.  
> BTW, I didn't. Your reply is lame.

> [redacted]

--

=====

"Treason doth never prosper, what's the reason?  
For if it prosper, none dare call it treason."

-- Sir John Harrington, 1612

Subject: Re: Orlin fair game?

Date: 8 Oct 1997 10:20:06 GMT

From: [REDACTED]

Organization: None

Newsgroups: alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater

References: [REDACTED]

<61c1li\$dp3\$08208.136.114.71>

J. Orlin Grabbe <kalliste@aci.net> wrote in article  
<61ege2\$poj\$08208.136.114.165>...

[REDACTED] wrote:

>> J. Orlin Grabbe <kalliste@aci.net> wrote in article

>> <61c1li\$dp3\$08208.136.114.71>...

>> [REDACTED] wrote:

>>> Orlin, why would you post such trash from  
>>> [REDACTED] without getting my side of the  
>>> story and fairly posting my response. I have  
>>> noticed you have taken a position on [REDACTED]  
>>> in the past. Is that why you would not fairly  
>>> represent a such a story with both sides? Are  
>>> you trying to unfairly discredit me with propaganda  
>>> which has no supportive documentation? Is  
>>> this investigative reporting or bolstering a  
>>> previous position which has had holes blown in  
>>> it. Just curious.

>>> Because you post here all the time, and you  
>>> would post your own response to anything I  
>>> posted. [REDACTED] doesn't normally post. I was  
>>> interested in the plane issue--how your story  
>>> supposedly intersected with [REDACTED]. He made  
>>> his comments. JQP posted your response.  
>>> That's that. (By the way, [REDACTED] really liked  
>>> your story, the first one from the LV Sun.)

>>> Orlin

>>> No, you made his comments. Kind of third party.  
>>> You had my response, or so I'm told, why did you  
>>> handle it in such a manner? I have left your posts  
>>> alone out of respect. Had [REDACTED] posted the  
>>> allegation of child molestation, I would more easily  
>>> be able to handle it. That allegation is untrue  
>>> and actually has nothing to do with the debate.  
>>> It is purely an attempt to discredit me, because  
>>> why....? It is not a rebuttal to my claims against  
>>> him. I could have killed a million people and eaten  
>>> them and it wouldn't change the facts of [REDACTED] Seal.  
>>> BTW, I didn't. Your reply is lame.

>>> [REDACTED]

>>> Oh, [REDACTED] fuck off. You posted five  
>>> articles to the newsgroup, in one of which

b6  
b7C

b6  
b7C

b6  
b7C

> you called [ ] a liar. So you started  
> it.  
>

> Second, I thought your five articles had intrinsic  
> interest, and I forwarded them to a mailing list,  
> including the one where you called [ ] a liar,  
> and I consider [ ] a personal friend. So I sent  
> him a copy: Hey, [ ] says you are a liar.  
> [ ] responded. So I posted that.  
>

> If you don't want static, don't call people liars.  
> And if you can't take the heat, stay out of the  
> kitchen.  
>

> Orlin  
>

Well, at least I got a civil reply. At least  
you are willing to admit, though quietly,  
why you handled the post in such a manner.  
This admission of technique doesn't serve  
the search for truth. Bias is a funny creature.

b6  
b7C

Received: from [redacted] by  
[redacted] with ESMTP id [redacted] for [redacted]; Wed, 20 Aug  
1997  
09:02:19 -0500 (CDT)  
Received: from [redacted]  
by [redacted] with SMTP id [redacted]  
for [redacted]; Wed, 20 Aug 1997 16:01:49 +0200 (MET DST)  
Received: from [redacted] with bsmt  
id [redacted] Wed, 20 Aug 97 16:07 MET DST  
Received: ([redacted] invoked by uid [redacted]; 20 Aug 1997 13:36:03 -0000  
Date: 20 Aug 1997 13:36:03 -0000  
From: [redacted]  
Comments: Please report problems with this automated remailing service  
to <postmaster@squirrel.owl.de>. The message sender's identity  
is unknown, unlogged, and not replyable.  
Subject: cocaine  
To: [redacted]  
Message-ID: [redacted]

Keep up the bullshit you say about George Bush. I've  
told James Kallstrom all about you. They'll be looking  
for the cocaine in your car.

b6  
b7C

Received: from [redacted] by [redacted]  
[redacted] with ESMTP id [redacted] for [redacted]; Fri, 22 Aug 1997 16:51:00 -0500 (CDT)  
Received: [redacted]  
by [redacted] id [redacted]  
for [redacted] Fri, 22 Aug 1997 23:50:11 +0200 (MET DST)  
Date: Fri, 22 Aug 1997 23:50:11 +0200 (MET DST)  
Message-Id: [redacted]  
To: [redacted]  
From: [redacted] (Anonymous)  
Organization: Replay and Company UnLimited  
X-001: Replay may or may not approve of the content of this posting  
X-002: Report misuse of this automated service to <abuse@replay.com>  
X-URL: <http://www.replay.com/remailer/>  
Subject: Barry Seal

b6  
b7c

Remember what happened to Barry Seal.



Received: from [redacted] by [redacted]  
[redacted] with ESMTP id [redacted] for [redacted]; Sat, 23 Aug 1997 00:54:18 -0500 (CDT)  
Received: [redacted]  
by [redacted] id [redacted]  
Sat, 23 Aug 1997 01:53:45 -0400  
Date: Sat, 23 Aug 1997 01:53:45 -0400  
Message-ID: [redacted]  
From: Anonymous [redacted]  
Comments: This message was remailed by a FREE automated remailing service. For additional information on this service, send a message with the subject "remailer-help" to remailer@anon.efga.org. The body of the message will be discarded. To report abuse, contact the operator at admin@anon.efga.org. Headers below this point were inserted by the original sender.  
Subject: Message  
To: [redacted]

The shipment will be arriving Monday night at the agreed location. Please have the cash ready in units of 500 twenty dollar bills.

You will be given time to inspect the goods for quality as agreed.



Received: from [redacted] by  
[redacted] with ESMTTP id [redacted] for [redacted] Tue, 9 Sep  
1997 05:46:44  
-0500 (CDT)

Received: from [redacted]  
by [redacted] with SMTP id [redacted]  
for [redacted] Tue, 9 Sep 1997 12:50:25 +0200 (MET DST)

Received: from [redacted] with bsmtp  
id [redacted] Tue, 9 Sep 97 12:58 MET DST  
Received: [redacted] invoked by uid [redacted]; 9 Sep 1997 09:11:03 -0000  
Date: 9 Sep 1997 09:11:03 -0000  
From: [redacted]

Comments: Please report problems with this automated remailing service  
to [redacted] The message sender's identity  
is unknown, unlogged, and not replyable.

Subject: [redacted] Gets Drug Award

To: [redacted]

Message-ID: [redacted]

> From: [redacted]  
> Subject: Reno Honored for Waco Work?  
>  
> Archbishop Tutu, Attorney General Reno receive annual peace awards  
>  
> Associated Press, 09/08/97 20:00  
>  
> WASHINGTON (AP) - South African Archbishop Desmond Tutu said Monday he  
> hoped Bosnia, Rwanda and other nations dealing with devastating tragedy  
> would be inspired by his own country's slow rise to triumph.  
>  
> Tutu said he also wanted strife-torn regions like the Middle East to  
> know that while there was ``a nightmare in South Africa, it has ended -  
> you're nightmare will end as well.''  
>  
> At a news conference to discuss his award from the National Peace  
> Foundation, the Nobel laureate credited his countrymen for ``showing  
> their mettle'' as South Africa investigates evidence of systematic  
> torture and killings by apartheid-era security forces.  
>  
> Tutu asked other nations to take hope from his country's history.  
>  
> ``It does give one very considerable joy to be able to come to the very  
> places where one used to go asking for help in the fight against  
> apartheid, to go back to those places and say, 'We came asking for your  
> help, you gave it and now the victory has been won,''' he said.  
>  
> The Washington-based National Peace Foundation promotes the development <--  
> of conflict resolution education in the United States and the growth of <--  
> democracy internationally.  
>  
> U.S. Attorney General Janet Reno was the other recipient of the group's <--  
> annual award. She did not attend the news conference.

b6  
b7C

b6  
b7C

Received: from [redacted] by  
[redacted] with SMTP id [redacted] for [redacted] Thu, 21 Aug  
1997 06:16:37  
-0500 (CDT)  
Date: 21 Aug 1997 11:20:03 -0000  
Message-ID: [redacted]  
To: [redacted]  
From: [redacted]  
X-Comment1: This message did not originate from the  
X-Comment2: above address. It was automatically remailed  
X-Comment3: by an anonymous mail service. Please report  
X-Comment4: problems or inappropriate use to  
X-Comment5: <postmaster@anon.lcs.mit.edu>  
Subject: Message

b6  
b7C

I read about what you said about JQ Public. Not to mention  
George Bush, Bill Clinton, and other leading Americans. You  
should be tarred and feathered.

People always accuse others of what they are guilty themselves.  
Drug dealer. That's you, [redacted] Better have the cocaine well  
hidden when they bust down the doors.

b6  
b7C

They are coming, [redacted] Sooner than you think.

Cheers  
[redacted]

Received: from [redacted] by [redacted]  
[redacted] with ESMTP id [redacted] for [redacted] Thu, 21 Aug 1997

04:19:54 -0500 (CDT)

Received: [redacted]  
by [redacted] id [redacted]  
Thu, 21 Aug 1997 05:23:12 -0400

Date: Thu, 21 Aug 1997 05:23:12 -0400

Message-ID: [redacted]

From: Anonymous [redacted]

Comments: This message was remailed by a FREE automated remailing service. For additional information on this service, send a message with the subject "remailer-help" to remailer@anon.efga.org. The body of the message will be discarded. To report abuse, contact the operator at admin@anon.efga.org. Headers below this point were inserted by the original sender.

Subject: George Bush

To: [redacted]

George Bush doesn't like you messing with his [redacted] operation. He is going to bust you just like he did Barry Seal. But Seal was big time. You are just a small time drug pusher. Have fun in the big house.

[redacted]

b6  
b7C

b6  
b7C